

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 7734 of 2018

Date of Decision: 19.12.2018

Manpreet Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Karam Kaur and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Parvinder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S. Atwal, Advocate,
for respondents no. 1 to 6 and 8.

Mr. K.S. Dhillon, Advocate,
for respondent no. 7.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Though learned counsel for the respondents initially refuted the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioners, to the effect that the trial Court would not be competent to direct the defendant to lead evidence, subsequently, however, upon judgments being cited by learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Atwal submits that the respondents-plaintiffs have no objection to if the plaintiffs are directed to first lead evidence, with the petitioners (defendants) thereafter also given a specific time frame, within which they would conclude their evidence.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not oppose the aforesaid contention, which initially in fact was an offer made by him.

Considering the above, this petition is allowed with the impugned order set aside. The respondents-plaintiffs would conclude their evidence within three months as fairly stated by Mr. Atwal, with the petitioners (defendants) also to conclude their evidence within three months thereafter, positively.

December 19, 2018
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.