

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

227

CR No.8130 of 2015  
Date of decision: 26.07.2018

Rajender Prasad

..... Petitioner

Versus

Anil Kumar

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present:- Mr. M.S. Randhawa, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)**

Tenant-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order passed by the learned Rent Controller permitting the landlord to get the property examined from a building expert and produce the same in evidence.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that second Local Commissioner could not be appointed and in support thereof, he relies upon a judgment passed by this Court in the case of Bakshish Singh Vs. Resham Singh 1991(2) PLR 265.

This Court has carefully read the impugned order passed by the learned Rent Controller. Second Local Commissioner as is being claimed has never been appointed by the Court. The landlord only sought permission of the Court to get the building inspected and examined from a

expert. Such permission has been granted. In such circumstances, argument of learned counsel for the petitioner that the second Local Commissioner has been appointed is wholly wrong.

In view thereof, there is no scope for interference in the order passed by the Court.

Revision petition is dismissed.

**26.07.2018**  
Dinesh Bansal

**( ANIL KSHETARPAL )**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |          |
|---------------------------|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes / No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes / No |