

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.7639 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.10.2018

Gurnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Harpawanjit Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Jaideep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 points out that the main suit itself has been dismissed by the trial Court, therefore, this revision petition has become infructuous.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner pleads no instruction on the aforesaid issue.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3, this revision petition is ordered to be rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the

petitioner that in case statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 is found to be factually incorrect, the petitioner can move an appropriate application for revival of the revision petition.

October 31, 2018
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No