

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7958 of 2016
Date of decision : 21.03.2018

Sohan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Shamsher Kaur

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The defendant-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order passed by the learned First Appellate Court refusing to condone the delay of 625 days in filing the first appeal.

This Court has examined the contents of the application filed seeking condonation of delay. Only reason given by the petitioner is, that the counsel representing the petitioner in the trial Court did not inform him and he has lodged complaint against the previous counsel in the District Bar Association, Rup Nagar.

Learned Court has examined the contents of the application in detail and have found that the reason given by the petitioner does not constitute sufficient cause for condoning the delay. The Court has held that it is not believable that the defendant-petitioner would not even inquire about the status of the case for almost 2 years. The suit for recovery was

instituted on 27.05.2005. Whereas it was decided after due contest on 31.05.2012. The complaint against the counsel is alleged to have been submitted on 23.01.2014.

In the considered opinion of this court, the learned First Appellate Court has correctly appreciated the facts and have found that the sufficient cause for condoning the delay is not made out.

In view thereof, there is no scope for interference.

Revision petition is dismissed.

21.03.2018

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No