

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.7550 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 02.11.2018**

Netar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Daljit Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

CM No.24148-CII of 2018

Allowed as prayed for.

CR No.7550 of 2018 (O&M)

Apart from assailing the findings of both the Ld. Courts below, the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner also submits that in any case his client needs some reasonable time to vacate the demised premises. The eviction order in favour of the respondent-landlord was passed basically on an affirmative finding that the demised premises situated on the ground floor were required by him for personal use and occupation, more particularly considering that his son being a student of Class 10th at the relevant time required an exclusive room for his study and residential accommodation on the ground floor was best suited for the respondent and his family members.

2. This Court finds no impropriety or illegality in the decisions of both the Ld. Courts below since undisputedly a landlord is the best judge of his needs and requirements and it is not for a tenant to dictate in what manner the landlord should adjust his life affairs.

3. No merits.

4. Dismissed.

5. However, the petitioner is granted time till end of January 2019 to peacefully vacate the demised premises subject to payment of rents/*mesne* profits being already paid by him.

November 02, 2018
Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No