

223

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-7822-2015

Date of decision : 19.03.2018

Harkeerat Singh Mangat

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Gram Panchayat of Katani Kala and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ramandeep, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Ramandeep, learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 submitted that no cause of action survives in the present revision petition as the suit has already been decided.

In view of above, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such. However, liberty is granted to revive the matter, in case, the aforementioned statement was found to be incorrect.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

19.03.2018
Yogesh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/ No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/ No**