

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7411 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 04.05.2018

Sourabh Bhardwaj

...Petitioner

Versus

Preeti Gaur

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Raje Ram Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Husband-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order dated 26.09.2017 passed by the learned Family Court directing him to pay the litigation expenses to the tune of ₹1,00,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the litigation expenses as determined by the Court are on the higher side. It may be noticed that the parties to the litigation are well placed and the petitioner-husband is alleged to be drawing a salary of ₹1,20,000/- per month while working as Lead Consultant with HCL Technologies Limited at Noida (UP).

Keeping in view the status of the parties and the fact that the litigation charges not only includes the fee to be paid to the lawyer but also other expenses incurred in the litigation, hence, this Court does not find any good ground to interfere with the impugned order passed by the learned Family Court. However, the order passed by the Court shall not be treated as

a precedent.

In view of the above, present revision petition is dismissed.

The amount, if any, deposited by the petitioner in this Court shall be remitted to the Family Court for onward disbursement.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are disposed of, in view of the abovesaid judgment.

04.05.2018

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No