

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 115

CR-7336-2018

Date of decision :14.11.2018

Sandeep Puniya

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Suchitra Puniya

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Vikram Lakhlan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition is directed against the order dated 30.08.2018, passed by the Additional District Judge, Rewari (for short, the Trial Court), through which the petitioner-husband has been directed to pay *ad interim maintenance* to the respondent-wife @ ₹5,000/- per month alongwith one time litigation expenses @ ₹10,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner being an auto rickshaw driver has meager earnings and therefore, he would not be able to pay the awarded amount of ₹5,000/- as *ad interim maintenance* every month to the respondent-wife.

On consideration of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, no merit is found in the same.

In view of the admission by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is an auto rickshaw driver, no error is found in the order passed by the Trial Court through which ₹5,000/- p.m. has been awarded to the respondent-wife as *ad interim maintenance*. Awarding of ₹10,000/- as one time litigation expenses to the respondent-wife by the Trial Court is also found to be fair.

Dismissed.

14.11.2018
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No