

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR 7683-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.05.2018

Girraj

.....Petitioner

versus

Smt.Urmila

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr.Jangvir Singh Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner
along with the petitioner
Mr.Subhash, Advocate for the respondent
along with respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

An amount of Rs.10,000/- has been paid to the respondent today in Court. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that balance amount of Rs.86,000/- plus Rs.3000/- as litigation expenses are yet to be paid. Same can be recovered by use of coercive method. The amount has been disputed by the respondent. It being so, it is left for the trial Court to calculate the amount and recover the same by using coercive method.

Heard.

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 18.12.2014, passed by learned Additional District Judge, Palwal, vide which, maintenance @ Rs.4000/- p.m. was allowed to the wife and Rs.2000/- p.m. to the minor child. The only prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that trial Court has not considered that in a petition under Section 125

Cr.P.C. Maintenance @ Rs.2000/- per month has been allowed and in petition under the the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act 2005, maintenance @ Rs.4000/- has also been allowed.

Learned counsel for the respondent has undertaken before this Court that she will claim the maintenance only in one case, which is highest.

It being so, it is directed that the respondent wife shall claim maintenance only in one case whichever is highest.

The present revision petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Since the present petition has been disposed of, therefore, the pending CM, if any, also stands disposed of.

07.05.2018

gk

(Kuldip Singh)

Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No