

254

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 7318 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 17.4.2018

M/s Lords Moulds and Tools (Prop. Firm) and another Petitioners

Versus

M/s Shiv Shakti Enterprises

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. M.K. Sood, Advocate, for petitioners.

Mr. Saroj Malakar, Advocate, for,
Mr. Ishaan, Advocate,
for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioners are aggrieved of part of the order dated 30.5.2017 (Annexure-P-4), passed on by the learned Additional District Judge, Faridabad, vide which while allowing appeal filed by petitioners and setting aside the ex parte judgment and order dated 27.10.2016, passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Faridabad, a condition was imposed to deposit the amount in question before the trial Court.

It comes out that suit was filed under Order XXXVII CPC, 1908 for recovery of Rs. 1,54,771/- alongwith interest.

CR No. 7318 of 2017 (O/M)

Considering that case is yet to be tried and decided on merits and also to protect the interest of plaintiff-respondent, order is modified to the extent that in place of deposit of amount in question before the trial Court, a security in the sum of Rs. 3.00.000/- shall be furnished before the trial Court to ensure compliance of decree if passed against petitioners.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.4.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No