

251

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 7288 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.05.2018

Amandeep Dhatt

.....Petitioner

Vs

Aarti

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. J.S.Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has assailed the order dated 18.09.2017 passed by Additional District Judge, Hoshiarpur whereby an application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act was allowed, thereby directing the petitioner to pay an amount of Rs. 15,000/- per month to the respondent and her child besides awarding Rs. 10,000/- towards litigation charges.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the total carry home salary of the petitioner is Rs. 28,853/- after necessary deductions towards GPF, etc. The petitioner is serving as Senior Engineer in Sonalika Tractors Ltd. Though the respondent is also a qualified lady but she is not earning anything. At this stage, grant of maintenance under Section 24

of the Hindu Marriage Act, status of the petitioner can be appreciated for the grant of maintenance in question. Minor son, aged 2 ½ years requires proper maintenance from the parents. Respondent is not earning anything though she is a qualified, M.A. B.Ed. At this stage, no evidence has been led to prove any earning on the part of the respondent.

At this stage, there is nothing on record to suggest that the award made in favour of the respondent is on the higher side. There is no error of jurisdiction or perversity in the impugned order.

This revision petition is accordingly dismissed.

14th May, 2018

shabha

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	Yes
<i>Whether reportable</i>	Yes/No