

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7231 of 2017

Date of Decision: 25.10.2018

Jasdev Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Meenakshi Sharma

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:-Ms. Rajni Maurya, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH J.(ORAL)

This revision petition was filed on 20.09.2017. Till date no effort has been made to argue the petition even for once. On 24.08.2018, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner and the case was adjourned for today. On earlier date, though learned counsel for the petitioner had appeared but requested for an adjournment.

Today learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she has no instructions to address arguments.

A perusal of the record shows that an amount of ₹5,000/- was granted as maintenance pendentlite in favour of the

respondent in an application under Section 24 of Hindu Marriage Act during the pendency of the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, filed by the petitioner. The amount of maintenance is awarded just to prevent vagrencias and destitution of the respondent-wife. The amount of maintenance granted to the tune of Rs.5,000/-in favour of respondent cannot be termed to be on higher side.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case no error of jurisdiction can be noticed in the impugned order passed by the Addl.District Judge, Hoshiarpur.

As such this revision petition is accordingly dismissed.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

October 25, 2018
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No