

(108) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.7214 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.08.2018

Tinku Kumar Gupta

.... Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Kumar @ Rakesh Kumar and
Others

.... Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present: Ms. Riya Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jeevan Gautam, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 & 2.

Ms. Ratika Attri, Advocate for
Mr. C.S. Pasricha, Advocate for respondent No.3.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Learned counsel for the petitioner, who, on the last date of hearing, had taken time for seeking instructions, states that since the civil suit out of which the revision petition has arisen, has been decided by way of rejection of plaint, she does not press the present revision petition as the same has become infructuous.

[2] Dismissed as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

07.08.2018

amit

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.