

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.697 of 2018
Date of decision:05.02.2018

Joginder Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Jagminder Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The petitioner-defendant is aggrieved of the order dated 11.01.2018 (Annexure P-4), whereby, evidence of the defendant has been closed.

Mr. Parminder Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in case, one opportunity is granted, the petitioner will conclude the entire evidence subject to any terms and conditions which this Court deem fit.

I have heard the counsel for the petitioner-defendant, appraised the paper book and of the view that no doubt, the petitioner-defendant was negligent in concluding the evidence, however, in order to advance justice and prevent miscarriage of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant one effective opportunity to the petitioner to conclude the evidence.

Keeping in view the aforementioned observations, the impugned order is set aside and the petitioner-defendant shall conclude the evidence in accordance with law subject to payment of costs of ₹5,000/- which shall be condition precedent. If the costs is not paid as directed, the order passed already by the court below shall stand restored.

The impugned order is set aside and the revision petition is allowed dispensing with notice to the respondent in order to save time of the Court and defray the expenses of litigation of respondent.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

February 05, 2018
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No