

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 6922 of 2018 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 10.10.2018

Varinder Dixit

....Petitioner

Vs

Smt. Pankaj Bhandari and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH***

Present: Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)**

Only grievance of the petitioner appears to be that under the garb of impugned judgment and decree, possession of shop No.8 is being taken.

Evidently, the ejectment order was passed in respect of shop bearing private No.7 forming part of property bearing AMC No.442-E/8135-8131, B-IV situated in Mandi Bali Ram, Ambala City. This property is having defined dimensions as shown in the petition under Section 13 of Haryana Urban (Control of Rent & Eviction) Act, 1973.

The ejectment order was passed against the judgment debtor No.1 wherein petitioner was held to be a sub-tenant. In the execution of order of ejectment, the suit property bearing private shop No.7 would be vacated in accordance with

law.

The arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner cannot be appreciated at this stage. Even if shops No.7 and 8 were made one by removing the common wall, both the shops are capable of being identified in terms of Municipal record. The execution of shop No.7 can take place in accordance with the dimensions given in the petition.

No interference is called for in this revision petition.

This revision petition is accordingly, dismissed.

10.10.2018

*Jyoti Yadav*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No