

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

263

CR No.7244 of 2016 (O & M)
Date of Decision:22.10.2018

Baljinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Sushil Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

The revision petition has been filed against an order by which application for amendment was allowed, subject to payment of cost.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that neither the cost has been deposited nor the amendment as allowed has been incorporated. Hence, he submits that the revision petition has become rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as such.

22.10.2018

sheetal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No