

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

CR No.7238 of 2016 (O & M)
Date of Decision:17.04.2018

Hazari Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

Lajpat and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Naresh Kalia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Defendant-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order passed by the learned trial Court dated 15.10.2016. At the outset learned counsel for the plaintiff-respondent has pointed out that pursuant to the order dated 15.10.2016 passed by the learned trial Court, possession of the shop was restored to the plaintiff vide rapat dated 21.10.2016 i.e. before filing of the revision petition.

Learned counsel for the plaintiff-respondent has further pointed out that the trial of the suit has made substantial progress as the plaintiff has already concluded his evidence and the defendant is in the process of leading his evidence.

Without going into the merits of the case, this revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned trial Court to decide the suit within a period of three months from the next date of hearing.

The learned trial Court would decide the suit without being influenced by the impugned order passed by the Court on 15.10.2016.

With the aforesaid observations revision petition is disposed of.

17.04.2018
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE