

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR no.6817 of 2018

Date of Decision: 06.10.2018

Manjit Sandhu

...Petitioner

Vs.

General Public and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Manpreet Singh Dua, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioner impugns the order dated 28.05.2018 passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Phagwara, by which extension of time to furnish the indemnity bond ordered to be furnished vide the order dated 08.05.2018 earlier passed by that Court, has been dismissed.

Vide the order dated 08.05.2018, a succession certificate for an amount of Rs.97,025.96/- and gratuity amount of Rs.10,21,345/- had been ordered to be issued to the petitioner, subject to her depositing the requisite fee and furnishing personal indemnity bonds in the sum of Rs.12 lacs with one surety in the like amount, within a period of 15 days from that date.

The indemnity bonds not having been furnished within the stipulated period, i.e. by 23.05.2018, an application for extension of time was filed by the petitioner on 25.05.2018, upon which the impugned order has been passed.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vishneet Singh, Advocate, appears for respondents no.2 to 4, i.e. the brothers of the petitioner, and has filed a *Vakalatnama*. He submits that the said respondents have no objection to extension of time being granted.

That being so, and with the first respondent being the general public, I see no reason to disallow this petition.

Consequently, it is allowed, with the impugned order set aside.

The petitioner is directed to now positively furnish the indemnity bonds and surety in the like amount, as also the fee ordered to be paid in terms of the order of the trial court dated 08.05.2018, within a period of one month from today.

06.10.2018
vcgarg/dinesh

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No