

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR 7187-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.03.2018

Shilpa Khanna

.....Petitioner

versus

Amit Khanna

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Ajay Kumar Kansal, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Saurabh Goel, Advocate for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Heard.

It comes out that revision was pending before this Court against order dated 30.9.2016 (Annexure P8), passed by learned District Judge, Family Court, Gurgaon, which was regarding rights of the respondent-father to meet the children.

The matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court vide order dated 6.9.2017. Before Mediator, the parties entered into settlement dated 21.9.2017, in which, while taking note of 15 odd cases pending between the parties and the pendency of the present revision petition, the parties agreed and accepted to start solving the dispute and this settlement is first step in the shape of partial settlement regarding only to the visiting rights of the father i.e. Amit Khanna to meet the minor daughters. No other rights of the parties including the custody of minor

children shall be affected by the partial settlement. Accordingly, the visiting rights were agreed between the parties. Settlement was signed by both the parties and their counsel.

Learned counsel for respondent has contended that since the other disputes are not being settled, therefore, the settlement is not binding on them.

I am of the view that the matter was settled before Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. It was signed by both the parties. Therefore, qua the said partial settlement, it will bind both the parties. If the respondent feels that the said settlement is liable to be set aside, he is to seek appropriate remedy.

As such, the present revision petition is disposed of in terms of the settlement dated 21.9.2017. Both the parties shall be bound by the said settlement.

Since the present petition has been disposed of, therefore, the pending CM, if any, also stands disposed of.

22.03.2018
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No