

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.6624 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.12.2018

Dalip SinghPetitioner
Versus
Gulwinder Singh and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Inder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the respondents.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

[1]. Petitioner has challenged the order dated 22.08.2017 passed by Civil Judge (Junior Division), Tarn Taran vide which the application filed by the petitioner for additional evidence was rejected.

[2]. The case was fixed for final arguments before the trial Court. At that stage, the petitioner sought to place on record *jamabandis* by way of additional evidence.

[3]. Perusal of the record would show that the plaintiff had availed 14 opportunities for leading his evidence. Plaintiff himself closed his evidence. The production of aforesaid documents/jamabandis have been objected to by the

defendants/respondents.

[4]. Evidently, the rigour of order vide which evidence of the plaintiff was closed would preclude the plaintiff from seeking additional evidence in view of ratio laid down in **Chand Singh Vs. Naranjan Singh and another, 1990(1) SimLJ 292**. Plaintiff had availed 14 opportunities for leading his evidence. The case has already reached to the stage of arguments.

[5]. In my considered opinion, no indulgence can be granted at this belated stage. This revision petition is accordingly dismissed.

05.12.2018

Prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**