

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 6577 of 2018

Date of Decision: 21.11.2018

Pardeep Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Parkash and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunny Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 26.10.2018, learned counsel for the petitioner has produced in Court today a photocopy of Form 47, shown to be issued by the Transport Department, Haryana, which is an authorisation certificate for “N.P. (Goods)” in respect of a vehicle bearing registration no. HR-57-6870.

The owner of the said vehicle is shown to be one Sohan Lal, resident of 41, Village and Post Office Fatehpuria, Tehsil Rania, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel submits that as a matter of fact, the registration number of the vehicle as shown in the memo of parties before this Court, as also before the learned Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Hisar, has been erroneously shown to be “HR-57-A-6870”, whereas actually it is “HR-57-6870”.

That being so, without making any comment whatsoever on the merits of the contention before this Court (to the effect that it was a vehicle bearing registration No. HR-57-6870 and not vehicle bearing registration no. HR-57-A-6870), which would be a fact to be seen by the Tribunal, this petition is allowed,

with the impugned order set aside, with the petitioner given one opportunity to place on record before the Tribunal an amended memo of parties, as also to produce before it a copy of the aforesaid document, as also a copy of the insurance policy stated to have been issued in respect of the said vehicle (the said copy also produced in Court today, shown to be issued by the SBI General Insurance Company Ltd.), within a period of 15 days from today.

It is repeated that this Court has not made any comment on which of the two vehicles (if any), was actually involved in the accident (or not), which would be naturally gone into by the Tribunal on the basis of evidence led.

A photocopy of the documents produced in Court today, are ordered to be taken on record as Annexure P-3 with the accompanying petition.

The Registry is directed to mark the documents as such.

November 21, 2018
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.