

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CR-6408-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 6.10.2018

Jasdeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Uma Rani

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner-tenant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed praying that during the pendency of the rent appeal, application for additional evidence filed by the petitioner-tenant be also decided.

Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-landlord.

By the impugned order application for additional evidence filed by the landlord was allowed and the matter was remanded back to the Rent Controller to enable the landlord to lead his additional evidence. However, a similar application filed by the tenant was not decided. In my opinion, it would have been more appropriate if the Appellate Authority had decided both the applications. Counsel for the landlord also very fairly stated that he has no objection if the application for additional evidence filed by the tenant is decided on merits. The matter is stated to be fixed for 31.10.2018 before the Appellate Authority. The Appellate

additional evidence on that date.

Resultantly, the civil revision stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

6.10.2018
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No