

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 68 of 2015
Date of decision: 18.5.2018**

Tejinderpal Singh Mann

... Petitioner

Versus

Simran

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Mrigank Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-husband is in revision against the order dated 9.10.2014, vide which his application, seeking amendment in the divorce petition, has since been declined.

However, as yesterday, during the hearing of the matter, learned counsel for the parties submitted that there was a possibility of an amicable resolution of the dispute, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the parties submit that there is every possibility of the matter being resolved between the parties as the petitioner-husband is willing to pay a sum of Rs.26,00,000/-, as permanent alimony to the respondent-wife in full and final settlement of all her claims. Further, parties shall withdraw all their cases, filed against each other, that are pending in different Courts. A short accommodation is prayed for to place on record a specific affidavit in this regard.

Adjourned to 18.05.2018.

To be taken up immediately after urgent matters.”

Today, both the parties are present with their respective counsel, and have furnished their respective affidavits, in terms of the order dated 17.5.2018, which are taken on record. That being so, it is submitted that let this petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, as both the parties shall now appear before the District Judge, Karnal, who is in seisin of the divorce petition, for recording their respective statements, as also to settle further modalities and pay the amount that has been agreed upon.

Disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous. The parties shall appear before the District Judge, Karnal, on 25.5.2018. However, in the event, for any reason, respondent resiles from the above, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application in the matter at hands for its restoration and decision on merits.

18.5.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO