

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-6762-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.01.2018

Jai Narain Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Prem Bansal and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. N.S.Swaitch, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Upinder Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Subhash Goyal, Advocate for the respondents.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for respondents has produced copy of judgment of the trial Court, saying that on 18.2.2017, the case in which the impugned order was passed have been decided.

It being so, the present revision petition against the interim order dated 9.9.2016 (Annexure P-8) has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having become infructuous.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.01.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

No
No