

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.632 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : February 01, 2018

Tehal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Gaur Traders through Prop. and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Baldev Singh Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has impugned the orders dated 07.12.2017 (Annexure P-1) and the order dated 18.01.2018 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Kaithal, vide which after deciding to allow only two opportunities to lead additional evidence, vide order dated 07.12.2017 (Annexure P-1), one more opportunity was granted, vide order dated 18.01.2018 (Annexure P-2).

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I am of the view that the trial court after looking into all the aspects, decided to grant one more opportunity. It was the discretion of the trial court and in the exercising of the revisional powers, there is no ground to interfere in the same.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 01, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No