

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.6319 of 2018

Date of Decision:20.09.2018

Vedpal

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Laxmi and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Sumit Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The impugned order simply having stated that the amendment is not as per format, with liberty granted to the petitioner to file a fresh application for amendment, as per Order VI Rule 17 of the CPC, I see no reason to interfere in the said order, especially in view of the fact that original plaint is seen to be filed in *Hindi* (a copy is which is Annexure P-3, attached in vernacular form also), whereas the amended petition is sought to be filed wholly in English.

Hence, to determine as to whether any material difference is being made in the amended plaint, vis-a-vis the previous plaint, each and every paragraph that the petitioner/plaintiff wishes to amend, has to be highlighted in any application seeking amendment thereof.

With the aforesaid observation, this petition is dismissed.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 20, 2018.

dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO