

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6223 of 2018

Date of Decision:17.09.2018

Shree Bhagwan Jindal

...Petitioner

Versus

Kishore Kumar Verma and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Puneet Kansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The present revision petition is directed against the order passed by the learned District Judge, transferring another petition filed between the same parties to one court to avoid conflicting orders.

It is not disputed that the first petition was filed under Section 13-A of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 which was marked to one court and second petition under Section 13 of East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act was marked to a different court. Therefore, an application was filed by the landlord for transfer of two rent petitions to one court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the reason given in the application seeking transfer of the rent petition is erroneous.

This Court has considered the submission, however do not find any substance therein.

It is not in dispute that the landlord and the tenant are the same and property in dispute is also common. In such situation, the learned District Judge has committed no error in transferring the cases to one court.

Dismissed.

17.09.2018

mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No