

234

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.6221 of 2017

Date of Decision: 27.11.2018

NEHA

.....Petitioner

Vs

ATAM PARKASH AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:None.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Notice of motion was issued on 14.09.2017. Thereafter, on 10.01.2018 two day's time was granted to file process fee for the service of respondent No.1. On 15.05.2018, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

As per office report of the relevant period notice issued to respondent No.1 was received back served with the report that he had left the given address. It was directed that the petitioner may take steps to serve unserved respondent on his correct address. The case was adjourned to 21.08.2018. On that day, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, nor any steps were taken by the petitioner to serve respondent No.1. Last opportunity was granted to the petitioner to effect

service upon respondent No.1 on his correct address and the case was adjourned for today.

Today despite three pass overs, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, nor the needful has been done in the context of previous orders passed by this Court.

Faced with the aforesaid situation, this Court has left with no alternative but to dismiss the present petition for non-prosecution.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

November 27, 2018

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No