

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-616-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.02.2018

Jaspal Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

Jasmer Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Karamjeet Singh Mangat, Advocate for the petitioners

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioners are aggrieved of the order dated 28.11.2017, passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ajnala, District Amritsar, whereby their applications filed under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC was dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

It comes out that the plaintiff/respondent has filed a suit for permanent injunction against the authorities of Punjab State Power Corporation Limited for disconnecting his tubewell connection. No relief is sought against the present petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that though the petitioners have got no right in the said tubewell in dispute but it was on their complaint that the electricity authorities are proceeding to

disconnect the electricity connection. When the petitioners have got no right in the said tubewell and no injunction is sought against them, they cannot become party against the wishes of the plaintiff.

Consequently, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

01.02.2018
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No