IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR no.6157 of 2018
Date of Decision: 24.09.2018

Mukhtiar Singh ...Petitioner

Vs.

Sarabjit Kaur and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J (Oral)

Though, as already recorded in the order dated 13.09.2018, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a poor person with him now pursuing his application for payment of the decretal amount of Rs.20,000/- in the executing court, however, it is seen today that even in the impugned order, it is stated that the first application filed by him for execution of the decree in his favour was dismissed in default on 28.04.2001, with another application having been dismissed in default on 05.04.2003 and the last application having been dismissed on 24.12.2011.

That being so, I see absolutely no error in the impugned orders, dated 02.09.2016 and 16.05.2018, dismissing yet another such application.

Consequently, finding no merit in the petition, it is dismissed in *limine.*

24.09.2018 **(Amol Rattan Singh)**
vcgarg **Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No

VIKAS CHANDER
2018.09.27 14:22
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document