

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.6567 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 22.05.2018**

Dhare

.....Petitioner

Versus

Ram Kanwar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Jitender Nara, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. S.P.Chahar, Advocate for the respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The plaintiff is in revision against the order dated 26.09.2014 (Annexure P-2), rendered by the Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jhajjar, vide which, his application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 of C.P.C. has since been declined. As also the judgment dated 3.7.2015 (Annexure P-4), vide which, an appeal preferred against the said order had since been dismissed by the appellate Court.

Record show that while issuing notice of motion, this Court on October 5, 2015, had restrained the respondent-defendant to alienate the suit property and the said order continues to be in operation to date.

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the parties have reached a consensus that let this petition be disposed of only with an observation that the interim order passed by this Court, referred to above, shall operate during the pendency of the suit.

In conspectus of the above, the revision petition is accordingly disposed of. The interim order dated October 5,2015, shall continue during the pendency of the suit. Needless to assert that this however, shall not constitute any expression of opinion on merits of the case of either party.

22.05.2018

Manoj Bhutani

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No