

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6180 of 2017
Date of decision : 15.01.2018

Narinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Shallu Jaggi

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Parvez Chugh, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Husband-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order passed under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act fixing the maintenance pendente lite at the rate of ₹10,000/- per month.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently argued that the learned Court has wrongly assumed the income of the petitioner-husband as ₹50,000/- per month, although, his salary is only ₹31,000/- per month.

Here is a case where wife is also maintaining the child. Taking into consideration that fact, maintenance awarded is not unreasonable.

No ground for interference.

Revision petition is dismissed.

15.01.2018

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No