

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

136

CR No.6519 of 2016 (O & M)
Date of Decision:18.05.2018

Gurnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Jagjit Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ritesh Parshad, Advocate for
Mr. Harsh Bungar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Application under Order 7 Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure filed by the defendant-petitioner for rejection of the plaint was dismissed and the learned trial Court directed for framing of the issue as rejection of the plaint was sought for the suit being barred by limitation.

Learned counsel for the respondents has informed the Court that during the pendency of the revision petition, the entire evidence has been recorded and the case is now fixed for final arguments.

In view of the aforesaid, this Court is of the considered opinion that once the entire evidence has been recorded, learned trial Court should proceed and decide the case. The objection taken by the petitioner in an application under Order 7 Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure would be considered by the Court at the time of final decision of the case.

Revision petition is dismissed.

18.05.2018
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE