

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 6156 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.04.2018

Avjinder Singh @ Abjinder Singh

...Petitioner

V/s.

Tarlochan Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: Mr. J.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate for the respondents.

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that vide order dated 20.12.2016, this Court while disposing of CR No. 5551 of 2016 had taken a view that efforts should be made to recover the decretal amount from the salary of judgment-debtor and that the property of judgment-debtor shall only be put on sale if the recovery of decretal amount is not possible from the salary of judgment-debtor. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that property of the petitioner was ordered to be put on sale and the report is awaited for 20.04.2018.

It is contended that property has not been sold so far. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to pay the entire decretal amount within one month and also undertakes that if the amount is not paid within one month, the property may be put on sale.

In view of the undertaking, the present petitioner is directed to deposit the entire decretal amount before the Executing Court and if the

same is not deposited within one month, the Executing Court shall put the property on sale.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of.

Sine the main petition has been disposed of, the miscellaneous application pending, if any, stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.04.2018
Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No