

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CR No.6328 of 2012
Date of decision: 22.02.2018

Kashmiri Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. F.S. Virk, Advocate, for
Mr. Arvind Rajotia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, Additional AG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Plaintiff-petitioner is in the revision petition against the order dated 01.10.2012. Plaintiff in his evidence has examined an official from the office of District Town Planner who has specifically stated that the original drawing is not available in the office. Now the plaintiff is wanting to once again summon the official along with the original drawing. The trial Court has noticed these brief facts and has held that once an official has already come into the witness-box and stated that the original drawing is not available, the application for additional evidence was found meritless and hence, dismissed.

This Court repeatedly called upon learned counsel for the petitioner to show his assertion that the original drawing is available in the record. He submitted that no doubt the original drawing is not available but there is a certified copy which is part of the Court record. Once certified

copy is already part of the Court record, the Court would examine its evidentiary value and adjudicate the matter. No purpose would be served by producing the official who has already stated that the original drawing is not available.

Revision petition is disposed of.

22.02.2018
Dinesh Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No