

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.6125 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Order: 02.04.2018**

Smt. Sumitro Devi

..Petitioner

Versus

Mukesh Kumar

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. G.C.Shahpuri, Advocate,
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral).

Counsel for the respondent has pointed out that the revision petition has been rendered infructuous as the main petition filed under Section 12 of the Guardian and Wards Act, 1890 has been finally decided. He has pointed out that the present revision petition was filed by the wife against the order passed by the learned trial court allowing visitation rights to her.

In view of the aforesaid, the revision petition is dismissed as infructuous.

However, the petitioner is granted liberty to move an application for recall, if the facts are otherwise.

**April, 02, 2018
nt**

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No