

**Sr. No.252
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.606 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.07.2018**

Amarjit Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Jaspal Singh

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Ms. Deepmala Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Arun Luthra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for the respondent.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

An eviction petition preferred by landlord-Jaspal Singh in relation to the premises in question was dismissed vide order dated 29.11.2016 passed by the Rent Controller, Ludhiana in rent application No.15 of 03.09.2012.

Appeal having been preferred, the learned Appellate Authority, Ludhiana passed judgment dated 16.11.2017 directing eviction of the tenants, namely, Amarjit Kaur and Varinder Singh.

It is against such backdrop that the afore-noticed tenants have filed the instant revision petition assailing the judgment dated 16.11.2017 passed by the learned Appellate Authority, Ludhiana in rent appeal No.02 of 09.01.2017.

During the course of hearing today, parties have appeared in person.

Jaspal Singh-respondent has suffered a statement in Court that the matter has since been compromised and the tenants i.e. petitioners herein have vacated the premises.

Even Ms. Deepmala Saini, Advocate representing the tenants/petitioners herein affirms the entering into a compromise and as regards vacation of the premises.

In the light of such statement made by counsel representing the petitioners as also the parties themselves, nothing survives in the instant revisison petition and the same is disposed of as infructuous.

04.07.2018

vandana

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes

No