

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.6073 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision.13.11.2018**

Rattan Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs

Pritam Singh

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Vinay Saini, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Nagra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-plaintiff is aggrieved of the order dated 13.09.2016, whereby, his evidence has been closed and the application seeking modification of the aforementioned order has also been dismissed vide order dated 07.04.2017.

Mr. Vinay Saini for Mr. G.S. Nagra, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that in case, one opportunity is granted, he will conclude the entire evidence.

I have heard the counsel for the petitioner-plaintiff, appraised the paper book and of the view that no doubt, the petitioner-plaintiff was negligent in concluding the evidence, however, in order to advance justice and prevent miscarriage of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant one effective opportunity to the petitioner to conclude the evidence.

Keeping in view the aforementioned observations, the impugned order is set aside and the petitioner-plaintiff shall conclude the evidence in accordance with law subject to payment of costs of

₹5,000/- which shall be condition precedent. If the costs is not paid as directed, the order passed already by the court below shall stand restored.

The impugned order is set aside and the revision petition is allowed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

November 13, 2018

Pankaj*

Whether Reasoned/Speaking Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No