

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.6006 of 2017
Date of Decision.22.01.2018**

Deva SinghPetitioner

Vs

Akko DeviRespondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the respondent.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J.(ORAL)

There is no representation for the petitioner. Position was not different even on the last date of hearing.

Mr. R.S. Mamli, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the present revision petition is directed against the order dated 03.08.2017 whereby the application moved under Order 6 Rule 17 for amendment of the plaint has been dismissed. As per his information, the suit itself has already been decided.

The petitioner is not coming forward. The revision petition is dismissed as having become infructuous, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the revision petition, if something survives.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

January 22, 2018
Pankaj*

Whether reasoned/speaking Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No