

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-6095-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 16.01.2018

Usha Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

Lakho Devi and ors

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. D.K.Tuteja, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. J.S. Cooner, Advocate for the respondents.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed for setting aside the order dated 7.8.2014 (Annexure P-4), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division) Gohana, vide which an application filed by defendants-respondents for DNA test of Usha Rani-plaintiff/petitioner and Bhuro was allowed.

Since, it was alleged that the said application was neither signed by the parties nor by the counsel, therefore, the lower Court record was called for and same has been received.

A perusal of the application shows that it is neither signed by the applicants nor by the counsel, therefore, it is no application in the eyes of law.

In view of the above, on this simple ground, the impugned order dated 7.8.2014 (Annexure P-4), passed by learned Civil Judge, (Junior Division), Gohana is set aside and present revision petition is allowed.

However, defendants-respondents shall always be at liberty to file a proper application after completing the requisite formalities.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.01.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No