

215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.6278 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.10.2018**

SALINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE BANK OF PATIALA

....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:None for the petitioner.
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, Advocate
for the respondent-Bank.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-Bank submits that he is no more on the panel of the respondent-Bank.

At this stage, Mr. Mahesh Dheer, Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondent-Bank and filed power of attorney.

Petitioner has assailed the order dated 19.08.2015 passed by the Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Rajpura vide which the application for restoration of the suit was allowed and the same was restored to its original number.

At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 23.09.2015, the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner was noticed that the application for restoration of the suit was not accompanied by any application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act and the application was filed only after a period of 2 years. Thereafter this revision petition remained pending without there being any stay of proceedings before the trial Court.

Today, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-Bank submits that after restoration of the suit, the same has been decreed vide judgment and decree dated 09.09.2018 and according to the respondent, he has not received any notice of appeal (if any) filed by the defendant.

In view of above, this revision petition has become infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

October 01, 2018

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No