

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

208/2

**CR No. 612 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision:6.8.2018**

Brahm Parkash

.....petitioner

Versus

Raghvender Singhal and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr, S.S.Dinarpur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Robin Dutt, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 5.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J (oral)

During the course of arguments, it was noticed that the proposed amendment in the written statement was sought at the appellate stage. The proposed amendment was aimed to incorporate the agreements dated 12.10.1965 and 20.7.1967, but none of the prayer was made in the applications for leading additional evidence in respect of aforesaid proposed amendment. Leading of additional evidence was in respect of some other documents which have nothing to do with the proposed amendment. Incorporation of pleadings without there

being any lawful execution of agreements dated 12.10.1965 and 20.7.1967 would be inconsequential.

In the absence of any such effort on behalf of the petitioner to prove execution of aforesaid agreements by means of additional evidence, no indulgence can be granted in the aforesaid revision petition.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 06 , 2018
anita

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No