

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.5721 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 29.10.2018

Hargobind Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S.WALIA

Present: Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raghujeet Singh Madan, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Sandeep Virmani, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the revision petition is to order dated 23.05.2018, passed by the learned Addl. District Judge, Ropar, allowing the application for non-disbursement of the amount to the petitioner/decreed-holder during the pendency of the objection petition under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short 'the Act').

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the objections filed by the judgment-debtor/respondent under Section 34 of the Act have been dismissed by the learned Addl. District Judge, vide order dated 06.10.2018 and in view thereof the revision petition has become

infructuous, since the impugned order had directed non-disbursement of the amount to the petitioner/decreed-holder during the pendency of petition under Section 34 of the Act. The dismissal of petition under Section 34 of the Act has been confirmed by learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

3. In view thereof, the revision petition is disposed of as having become infructuous while leaving it open to the petitioner to take out appropriate steps for the enforcement of his rights in terms of award as well as order dated 24.10.2017 (Annexure P/4), in accordance with law.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

29.10.2018

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No