

237

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.6078 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.07.2018**

**M/S DAMINI RESORTS & BUILDER (P) LTD. AND ANR.
.....Petitioners**

Vs

**HARMOHAN SINGH AND ORS.
.....Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Panag, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has challenged the order dated 10.08.2016 passed by the Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Ludhiana vide which application for leading additional evidence was dismissed.

Perusal of the record would show that the plaintiff was allowed to lead evidence in rebuttal to the evidence led by the defendants vide order dated 18.09.2015 passed by this Court in Civil Revision No.7086 of 2012.

Now the defendants/petitioners want to lead expert evidence by way of additional evidence in order to rebut the evidence of rebuttal led by the plaintiff. Since the

defendants/petitioners have already led their evidence, they could have cross-examined the witnesses/evidence produced by the plaintiff in rebuttal.

In view of aforesaid, there is no ground to enlarge the scope of litigation as the petitioners want to rebut the rebuttal evidence under the garb of additional evidence. Consequently, no indulgence can be granted in the present revision petition. The same is accordingly dismissed.

July 12, 2018

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No