

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

152

**CR No.5649 of 2018
Date of decision: 29.08.2018**

David Jit Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

Susan Devi and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The revision petition is filed by defendant No.1 against the restoration of the suit. Learned counsel for the petitioner although made sincere attempt, however, could not persuade this Court to take a different view keeping in view the cogent reasons given by the learned trial Court. Learned trial Court has dismissed the case for non-prosecution as the plaintiff had failed to deposit the publication charges and also did not appear on the date fixed. It has come in evidence that the plaintiff was residing abroad and the counsel could not contact her.

Keeping in view the reasons given, this Court does not find any good ground to interfere. However, taking into consideration the fact that the suit was instituted on 04.01.2013, the learned trial Court is requested to make a sincere attempt to dispose of the trial of the case within a period of one year.

Revision petition is disposed of.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

29.08.2018
Dinesh Bansal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No