122 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5647 of 2018
Date of Decision: 11.09.2018

Neema

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vipin Bhat

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Parvinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition is directed against the order dated 22.05.2018 passed by the Additional District Judge, Mohali (for short, the Trial Court) through which the respondent-husband has been directed to pay to the petitioner-wife a monthly sum of ₹15,000/- as interim maintenance and ₹10,000/- as one time litigation expenses have also been granted to the petitioner. The petitioner claims that monthly maintenance @ ₹30,000/- should have been granted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner admits the salary of the respondent to be ₹54,000/- per month. That being so, grant of ₹15,000/- to the petitioner as interim maintenance is found to be reasonable. The litigation expenses of ₹10,000/- are also considered to be fair.

Dismissed.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

11.09.2018
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

SANDEEP
2018.09.12 12:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document