

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

234

CR No.6032 of 2016 (O & M)
Date of Decision:08.03.2018

Gurnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Wahiden and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Advocate for
Mr. Abhishek Singh, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents has pointed out that the main suit itself has been decided on merits on 31.01.2017. He submits that in view of the judgment passed by the civil Court, the revision petition which is arising out of interim order has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not present.

The revision petition is dismissed as infructuous.

Petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for recall, if he feels aggrieved.

08.03.2018
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No