

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.5571 of 2018

Date of Decision:12.10.2018

Ram Chander (since deceased) through his LR's.Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Mahesh Kumar Sangwan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioners seek to set aside the order dated 30.05.2018, passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Panipat.

Though otherwise learned counsel for the petitioners may have been correct in stating that the sisters and mother of the petitioners, (i.e. the LR's of the original petitioner, Ram Chander), having voluntarily given up their claim to the enhanced compensation awarded for the land of their father (as was acquired), there should have been no issue with regard to releasing the compensation only to the petitioners; however, the impugned order has stated that simply some of the LR's having come and made a statement would not suffice and therefore, even if payment was made to all the LR's of Ram Chander, they could relinquish their share even thereafter in favour of the petitioners. Consequently, I see no reason to interfere with the order, especially as it is not denied that upon any gift made from immediate blood relative to the other, there would no tax payable.

Disposed of.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 12, 2018.

dharamvir/dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO