

228

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-5891-2015

Date of decision : 21.03.2018

Municipal Corporation, Bathinda

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Brij Bala and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Binderjit Singh, Advocate
for the respondents.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The present revision petition is directed against the impugned order dated 28.05.2015 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Additional District Judge, Bathinda, whereby the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order dated 22.04.2013 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Addl. Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bathinda, allowing the application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC filed by the respondent(s)/plaintiff(s), has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that both the parties have led their evidence and the suit has reached at the stage of final arguments and one application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC had been moved.

Without commenting upon the merits and demerits of the matter as it will seriously prejudice the right of the parties, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present revision petition by upholding the

impugned order, under challenge owing to the fact the suit is ripe for arguments.

It is expected that the trial Court shall decide the suit as expeditiously as possible.

21.03.2018
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No