

**143 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5431 of 2018  
Date of Decision: 21.08.2018

Vinod Kumar Jain

-Petitioner

Vs

Subhash Kumar Jain and others

-Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Yogesh Goyal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

1. Perusal of the impugned order would show that the original arbitration award has not been brought on record. An application for reconstruction of the file was also withdrawn.
2. Permission to lead secondary evidence on the basis of photocopy of award was declined by the trial Court and the petitioner remained unsuccessful in the revision petition filed against the said order.
3. Evidently, there is no legal award on record which can be executed in terms of Section 36 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.
4. In view of above, I find that there is no error of jurisdiction in the impugned order.
5. This revision petition is accordingly, dismissed.

21.08.2018  
*Jyoti Yadav*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)  
JUDGE**